

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 2, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S087859 Marc Kasky, Plaintiff and Appellant

v.

Nike, Inc., et al., Defendants and Respondents

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed, and the matter is remanded to that court for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Kennard, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Werdegar, J.

Moreno, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Chin, J.

I Concur:

Baxter, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Brown, J.

S089120 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

Greg Acosta, Defendant and Appellant

S068743 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

David Lewis Cornelius, Defendant and Appellant

Good cause appearing, the above-entitled cases are hereby consolidated for purposes of oral argument and opinion. Oral argument shall be one hour in total, with 30 minutes for the People (respondent) and 30 minutes divided equally between appellants Greg Acosta and David Lewis Cornelius, unless appellants agree to a different allocation that complies with Section V, paragraph three of the Internal Operating Practices and Procedures of the California

Supreme Court. At the consolidated oral argument, appellant Acosta shall make his opening arguments in *People v. Acosta*, followed by the opening arguments of appellant Cornelius in *People v. Cornelius*. Thereafter, the People (respondent) shall make their arguments. Appellants Acosta and Cornelius may reserve time for rebuttal, which would be presented in the order indicated above.

S021054 People, Respondent
 v.

Richard Russell Moon, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Craig Buckser's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 5, 2002. After that date, only one further extension totaling 60 additional days is contemplated.

S023835 People, Respondent
 v.

Jesse Morrison, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including June 5, 2002.

S033901 People, Respondent
 v.

Catherine Thompson, Appellant

Due to clerical error, the order filed in the above matter on April 11, 2002, is amended to read as follows:

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including June 7, 2002.

S038073 People, Respondent
 v.

Abelino Manriquez, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including July 1, 2002.

S042224 People, Respondent

v.

Tomas Verano Cruz, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lisa Short's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by October 15, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 25, 2002. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 112 additional days are contemplated.

S042278 People, Respondent

v.

Mary Ellen Samuels, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Joel Levine's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 15, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 1, 2002. After that date, only three further extensions totaling 137 additional days are contemplated.

S045078 People, Respondent

v.

Royal Clark, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Melissa Hill's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by October 1, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 1, 2002. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 90 additional days are contemplated.

S082299 In re Peter Sakarias

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's traverse to the return to the order to show cause is extended to and including May 24, 2002.

115937-02 THE HONORABLE REUBEN A. ORTEGA, Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One, is hereby assigned to assist the Supreme Court of California, as a justice thereof, on May 2, 2002, and until completion and disposition of all causes and matters submitted pursuant to this assignment including, if necessary by reason of a vacancy or disqualification of a Supreme Court justice, petitions for rehearing arising out of such causes and matters.

Dated: May 2, 2002

Ronald M. George
Chief Justice of California and
Chairperson of the Judicial Council

**SUPREME COURT CALENDAR
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION
MAY 29 and 30, 2002**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom located 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 29 and 30, 2002.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 29, 2002 – 9:00 A.M.

S095474	Bird v. Saenz <i>(Chin, J., not participating. Parrilli, J., assigned Justice Pro Tempore.)</i>
S091308	Filarsky v. Superior Court; City of Manhattan Beach
S017657	Jesse Andrews on Habeas Corpus <i>(George, C.J., not participating. Morrison, J., assigned Justice Pro Tempore.)</i>

1:30 P.M.

(S089120	People v. Acosta
(S068743	People v. Cornelius
S097755	People v. Johnson
S018634	People v. Isaac Gutierrez <i>(Automatic Appeal)</i>

THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2002 – 9:00 A.M.

S082112	People v. Hurtado
S092179	People v. Wutzke
S085780	People v. Crayton

1:30 P.M.

S097765	People v. Garcia
S086518	Dart Industries v. Commercial Union Insurance

GEORGE

Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must comply with Rule 18(c), California Rules of Court.

**SUPREME COURT CALENDAR
LOS ANGELES SESSION
JUNE 4, 5, and 6, 2002**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, 3rd Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California on June 4, 5, and 6, 2002.

TUESDAY, JUNE 4, 2002 – 2:00 P.M.

S094490 People v. Mower
(*Baxter, J., not participating. Mihara, J., assigned
Justice Pro Tempore.*)
S099542 People v. Superior Court (Jimenez)
S097755 People v. Johnson

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 5, 2002 – 9:00 A.M.

S093628 City of Los Angeles v. S.C. (Brandon)
S086611 People v. Mar
(*Baxter, J., not participating; O'Rourke, J., assigned
Justice Pro Tempore.*)
S088712 People v. Storm

1:30 P.M.

S096127 Zuckerman v. Board of Chiropractic Examiners
S091459 People v. Totari
S032736 People v. Maurice Boyette (Automatic Appeal)

THURSDAY, JUNE 6, 2002 – 9:00 A.M.

S094877 Equilon Enterprises v. Consumer Cause
S099999 City of Cotati v. Cashman
S095000 Navellier v. Sletten

1:30 P.M.

S097444 Wilson v. Parker, Covert & Chidester
S090337 Mycogen Corp. v. Monsanto Co.
(*Baxter, J., not participating. O'Leary, J., assigned
Justice Pro Tempore.*)
S016081 People v. Maureen McDermott (Automatic Appeal)

GEORGE

Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must comply with Rule 18(c), California Rules of Court.